



July 29, 2003

Marlene Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S W. Washington, D C. 20544

RE: CC Docket No 94-102

Enhanced 911 Small Carrier Interim Report

Ms. Dortch

Enclosed please find the required interim report for Cambridge Telephone Company doing business under the marketing title Snake River PCS as a CDMA 1900 PCS wireless carrier. The contact for Cambridge Telephone Company regarding this report is:

Jerry Piper Assistant Manager PO Box 88 130 N. Superior St. Cambridge, ID 83610

Sincerely,

Jerry Piper

Assistant Manager

cc John Muleta, Chief of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau David Solomon, Chief of the Enforcement Bureau

Cambridge Telephone Company dba Snake River PCS CC DOCKET NO. 94-102 Interim Status Report for E911 Phase II Compliance

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 6 7 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

• The number of Phase I and Phase II requests from PSAPS (including those the carrier may consider invalid):

We have not had any formal requests for Phase I or Phase II service.

Specific technology choice and status of implementation:

Cambridge Telephone Company, dba Snake River PCS has elected a handset-based solution for our CDMA 1900 PCS network due to the rural nature of the area we serve. As towers are sparsely located in our network, triangulation is almost impossible for a location signal.

• Status on ordering and/or installing necessary network equipment:

Our Nortel DMS100 MTX10 switch and software load are Phase I and Phase II compliant. Vendor presentations for our data base services are currently being reviewed. There has been no lack of interest in providing solutions for the small carrier. Direct trunking is currently in place to support one of our four PSAPs and the only selective router in our network.

ALl – capable handsets:

ALI-capable handsets are available. We have Kyocera models 2325 and 7135 and Motorola T720, 120e and V.60i that the manufacturers claim to be ALI-capable. We have not encountered any issues with obtaining these handset models. Testing has not occurred as our PSAPs do not have the equipment to test and we have not yet selected a database provider.

• Estimated date of Phase II implementation:

We estimate that Phase II service will be available by 2nd quarter 2004 at the very latest for all PSAPs requesting service. We anticipate the ability to meet the 6 month implementation deadline should we receive a valid PSAP request prior to that time.

• Schedule to meet the ultimate implementation date of December 31, 2005:

We do not anticipate any difficulty meeting this deadline.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry D Piper

Assistant Manager